

Bank of England PRA

Solvency II: Matching Adjustment Permissions and Matching Adjustment Investment Accelerator Permissions

Statement of Policy 8/24

October 2025 (updating June 2024)



Contents

Contents	1
1: Introduction	2
2: The procedure for considering MA permissions	4
Interaction between the PRA and firms before an application	4
Initial application for permission to apply the MA	4
Change in scope MA application	7
Assessment of an initial or change in scope application	9
Right of a firm to withdraw its application	11
Decision on the application	11
The PRA's powers to vary or revoke permission to apply the MA	11
2A: The procedure for considering MAIA permissions	13
Interaction between the PRA and firms before an application	13
Initial application for permission to use the MAIA	13
Change in scope MAIA application	14
Assessment of an initial or change in scope application	15
Right of a firm to withdraw its application	16
Decision on the application	16
The PRA's powers to vary or revoke permission to use the MAIA	17
Interaction between the PRA and firms after a MAIA permission has been granted	17
3: Flexible approach to MA permissions	18
A streamlined approach to assessing MA applications	18
A streamlined approach in practice	18
4: Monitoring of the MA permissions framework	21
Appendix 1: SoP 8/24 Updates	22

1: Introduction

1.1 This statement of policy (SoP) sets out the PRA's approach to granting regulatory permissions in relation to the matching adjustment (MA) and related regulatory permissions to use the matching adjustment investment accelerator (MAIA), as well as variations to those permissions, and the circumstances in which the PRA may take the decision to revoke a firm's MA or MAIA permission. It also sets out how the PRA will assess the ongoing performance of the MA permissions framework.

1.2 When granting MA permissions, the PRA would exercise its powers under section 138BA (s138BA) of the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 (FSMA), which would have the effect of waiving or modifying the PRA's rules on the calculation of technical provisions to allow a firm to apply the MA in accordance with regulation 4(1) of The Insurance and Reinsurance Undertakings (Prudential Requirements) Regulations 2023 (referred to here as the 'IRPR regulations'). Using the same powers under FSMA, the PRA may also vary a firm's permission to use the MA, such as to allow assets with new features into an MA portfolio, or, in the case of MAIA permissions, to grant permission for the firm to add assets with new features before the firm's MA permission has been varied pursuant to the MAIA rules or change a MAIA exposure limit. The PRA may also use these powers to revoke a firm's MA or MAIA permission(s).

1.3 This statement is relevant to:

- all UK Solvency II firms;
- the Society of Lloyd's and its members and managing agents; and
- insurance and reinsurance undertakings that have a UK branch (third country branch undertakings),

where they are applying, or are seeking permission to apply, the MA and/or to use the MAIA¹. This SoP will refer to these collectively as 'firms'.

1.4 This SoP should be read in conjunction with the IRPR regulations, the Matching Adjustment Part of the PRA Rulebook, the Investments Part of the PRA Rulebook, the relevant rules in the rest of the Solvency II Sector of the PRA Rulebook, supervisory statement (SS) 7/18 – Solvency II: Matching adjustment², SS1/20 – Solvency II: Prudent

¹ Note that MAIA permission is not possible without also holding permission to use the MA. As noted in paragraph 2A.3, the PRA would not generally expect firms to seek initial permission to use the MA and the MAIA at the same time.

² www.bankofengland.co.uk/prudential-regulation/publication/2018/solvency-2-matching-adjustment-ss.

Person Principle³, SS8/18 – Solvency II: Internal models – modelling of the matching adjustment⁴, SS3/17 – Solvency II: Illiquid unrated assets⁵ and the PRA’s insurance approach document.⁶ For information about making an application, see the [**Insurance rule permissions and notifications**](#) of the PRA’s website.

1.4A Chapter 2 of this SoP sets out the PRA’s approach to granting MA permissions, while Chapter 2A relates to the PRA’s approach to granting related MAIA permissions. The flexible approach to granting MA permissions is outlined in Chapter 3, while Chapter 4 sets out the PRA’s approach to assessing the ongoing performance of the MA permissions framework.

3 ‘Solvency II: Prudent Person Principle’, June 2024: www.bankofengland.co.uk/prudential-regulation/publication/2020/solvency-ii-prudent-person-principle-ss

4 www.bankofengland.co.uk/prudential-regulation/publication/2018/solvency-2-internal-models-modelling-of-the-matching-adjustment-ss

5 ‘Solvency II: Illiquid unrated assets’ June 2024: www.bankofengland.co.uk/prudential-regulation/publication/2017/solvency-2-matching-adjustment-illiquid-unrated-assets-and-equity-release-mortgages-ss

6 ‘PRA’s approach to supervision of the banking and insurance sectors’, July 2023: www.bankofengland.co.uk/prudential-regulation/publication/pras-approach-to-supervision-of-the-banking-and-insurance-sectors

2: The procedure for considering MA permissions

2.1 This chapter outlines the PRA's approach to considering, granting and varying permission for a firm to apply the MA to the relevant risk-free rate term structure for calculating its best estimate liabilities. Chapter 3 goes on to discuss how this approach could be applied in a more flexible, streamlined manner in certain circumstances.

2.2 This chapter covers both initial MA applications by firms that do not already have permission to apply the MA and applications to vary MA permissions, where firms are seeking to change the scope of an existing MA permission. It also covers possible revocation of MA permissions by the PRA.

Interaction between the PRA and firms before an application

2.3 The PRA welcomes early engagement with a firm that is considering submitting an MA application. The nature of this engagement will depend on the complexity and scale of the proposed application, and the firm's readiness to submit a formal application. To assist with this assessment, and to help the PRA determine resourcing needs and the likely level of review required, firms are strongly encouraged to participate in the PRA's application readiness assessment process (ARAP) that has been specifically designed for the MA.

2.4 The PRA has developed an ARAP form⁷, which firms would be expected to complete. For changes to an MA portfolio, this should cover details of what is changing and why the firm considers that a new MA application is required. For example, where a firm proposes to add new assets or liabilities to its MA portfolio, the ARAP form should cover the new features the firm considers to be introduced by the assets or liabilities in question and the work that it has done to assess the eligibility of those features.

Initial application for permission to apply the MA

2.5 A firm should submit a written application to the PRA for initial permission to apply the MA. Firms making an MA application should make use of the s138BA permission application form on the PRA website, and they are also expected to make use of the supplementary

⁷ www.bankofengland.co.uk/-/media/boe/files/prudential-regulation/authorisations/solvency-ii-approvals/application-readiness-assessment-process-form.docx

information form that is published by the PRA in relation to MA applications.⁸ The application should incorporate all relevant documentary evidence.

2.6 The application should include confirmation that it contains all information that the firm considers necessary for the PRA to reach a decision. The application should be signed off by the board or by the relevant persons responsible for the submission of MA applications (in line with the expectations set out in paragraph 9.6A of SS7/18).

2.7 In order for a firm to apply an MA under the IRPR regulations, the PRA must be satisfied that the firm meets the MA eligibility conditions as set out in regulation 4 of the IRPR regulations and Chapter 2 of the Matching Adjustment Part. As required by Matching Adjustment 3.1, a firm's initial MA application must contain written confirmation of its compliance with the MA eligibility conditions. For regulation 4(4) of the IRPR regulations and Matching Adjustment 2.2(6), firms are expected to provide this written confirmation. For other MA eligibility conditions, firms are expected to provide documentary evidence to support their written confirmation, including:

- i. evidence that the relevant portfolio of assets meets the applicable requirements set out in regulation 4 of the IRPR regulations (except for regulation 4(4) as noted above);
- ii. evidence that, in relation to any asset with cash flows that are not fixed, the asset cash flows are highly predictable (regulations 4(9)(a) and 4(10) of the IRPR regulations and Matching Adjustment 5) and that the risks to the quality of matching are not material;
- iii. evidence that the relevant portfolio of insurance or reinsurance obligations meets the applicable requirements set out in Matching Adjustment 2.2(1) to (4), 2.3 and 2.4;
- iv. evidence that the criteria of regulations 4(7) and 4(8) of the IRPR regulations are met. This should include an assessment of whether any mismatch gives rise to risks that are material in relation to the risks inherent in the insurance business to which the MA is intended to be applied. Accompanying this should be an explanation of how a quantitative assessment of matching will be made on an ongoing basis; and
- v. evidence that adequate processes will be in place to properly identify, organise and manage the relevant portfolio of insurance or reinsurance obligations and relevant portfolio of assets separately from other activities of the firm (as per regulation 4(6) of the IRPR regulations), and to ensure that the assigned assets will not be used to cover losses arising from other activities of the firm (as per Matching Adjustment 2.2(5)).

⁸ Both documents are on the 'Solvency II approvals' webpage: www.bankofengland.co.uk/prudential-regulation/authorisations/solvency-ii-approvals.

2.8 Assets with highly predictable (HP) cash flows pose additional risks to the quality of matching.⁹ Where a firm is seeking an MA permission to include assets with HP cash flows in its MA portfolio, the firm should set out in its MA application how it proposes to mitigate these risks. As well as the required addition to the fundamental spread (FS) (referred to as the 'FS addition') for these assets, firms may choose to apply other mitigants/safeguards such as exposure limits or close monitoring of matching.¹⁰ Like the approach to determining the FS addition, these other mitigants would then form part of a firm's MA permission if the application is approved. Therefore, in addition to the evidence mentioned in paragraph 2.7(ii) above, the application should contain information covering:

- i. the proposed methodology for determining the best estimate cash flows, if the firm is not proposing to use a standard approach to calculate the FS addition (ie is not proposing to use an approach set out in either paragraph 5.23 or paragraphs 5.24 to 5.24A of SS7/18, as applicable);¹¹
- ii. the proposed methodology for calculating the FS additions or confirmation that a standard approach will be used (as set out in either paragraph 5.23 or paragraphs 5.24 to 5.24A of SS7/18, as applicable); and
- iii. any other proposed mitigants/safeguards that the firm considers should form part of its MA permission.

2.9 The PRA will also consider the extent to which the firm meets the relevant expectations set out in SS7/18. The firm should therefore assess its proposals against these expectations and provide sufficient documentary evidence of this assessment within its application. It should be noted that firms are not expected to provide the results of applying the PRA Matching Tests as part of their MA applications.

2.10 In addition to the above, a firm should ensure (in line with paragraph 2.6 above) that the application includes any other information (not explicitly mentioned in paragraphs 2.7 to 2.9 above) that it considers necessary for the assessment and decision by the PRA. Where a firm is seeking to include any guaranteed elements of with-profits annuities in its MA portfolio(s), the PRA would expect the application to include confirmation that the firm has satisfied itself that any implications for its with-profits business (including points around fairness, investment strategy and wider management) have been considered and, if necessary, discussed with the FCA.

⁹ Matching Adjustment 5.3.

¹⁰ Matching Adjustment 8.2.

¹¹ Matching Adjustment 5.4.

2.11 As part of its assessment, the PRA may also request additional evidence relevant to the operation of the MA portfolio.¹² A firm should be able to provide, on request:

- i. evidence of compliance with Matching Adjustment 2.2(6), including an assessment against the associated expectations set out in SS1/20;
- ii. evidence of compliance with regulation 4(4) of the IRPR regulations;
- iii. results of the PRA Matching Tests;
- iv. confirmation that the firm will meet the requirements of Matching Adjustment 13.3 if permission to apply an MA is granted;
- v. a copy of the liquidity plan referred to in Conditions Governing Business 3.1(3);
- vi. an explanation of the calculation process that is used (or that will be used) to determine the MA in accordance with the requirements of Chapter 4 of the Matching Adjustment Part;
- vii. an assessment of the impact of a reduction in the MA to zero (Conditions Governing Business 3.2(2)(c)); and
- viii. details of any limits such as investment limits (not already covered in the firm's application) that the firm intends to apply to specific assets or asset types in line with the expectations around the Prudent Person Principle as set out in chapter 3 of SS1/20.

2.12 Where an application is submitted in respect of more than one portfolio of insurance or reinsurance obligations, the application shall set out the evidence required separately for each portfolio that is covered by the application.

2.13 The application should include a list of any other applications, submitted by the firm or currently anticipated, that may be relevant to the regulatory balance sheet or capital requirements of the firm or group.

Change in scope MA application

2.14 The PRA recognises that a firm may wish to alter the scope of an existing MA permission via a variation of its existing MA permission. A firm should submit a written application to the PRA to vary its MA permission. As is the case with a new MA application, firms should apply using the s138BA permission application form published on the PRA

¹² In addition to the items set out in this paragraph, when considering more complex MA applications the PRA reserves the right (as set out in paragraph 2.25 below) to ask for further information around other relevant factors and evidence as part of its review process.

website and they are also expected to make use of the supplementary information form published by the PRA for MA applications.¹³ The application should incorporate all relevant documentary evidence as set out in paragraph 2.16 below.

2.15 The application should include confirmation that it contains all information that the firm considers necessary for the PRA to reach a decision, and the application should be signed off by the board or by the relevant persons responsible for the submission of MA applications (in line with the expectations set out in paragraph 9.6A of SS7/18).

2.16 A firm's application should as a minimum contain:

- i. a description of the proposed change(s) in scope;
- ii. written confirmation of compliance with the MA eligibility conditions, including documentary evidence to support this (as per paragraph 2.7 above); and
- iii. to the extent relevant, the information described in paragraphs 2.8, 2.9, 2.10, 2.12, and 2.13 above.

2.17 The PRA may also request the additional items set out in paragraph 2.11 above as part of its assessment. It may also ask the firm to explain the likely impact on the MA of the proposed change(s).

2.17A The PRA reminds firms that, where a firm has permission to use the MAIA, it should apply for a variation of its MAIA permission at the same time as the application for the variation of its MA permission, in order to ensure that the MAIA exposure limit is appropriately updated. In such cases, the PRA would expect firms to provide the size of the best estimate liabilities of the MA portfolio (both gross and net of reinsurance¹⁴) at the point of application for a change in scope of the existing MA permission, and the related proposed MAIA exposure limit. This information is most easily provided in the MAIA supplementary information form. The PRA may also request updated information on the MA liabilities and proposed MAIA exposure limit ahead of making any decision on the MA application and associated MAIA application.

2.18 To ease the administrative and operational burden on both firms and the PRA, the PRA expects a firm to update the suite of documentary evidence covering its initial MA permission, for each subsequent variation of that permission. Applications for a variation of MA permission should cover a short description of the proposed changes together with an updated suite of documentary evidence, where the changes to this evidence from that

¹³ 'Solvency II approvals': www.bankofengland.co.uk/prudential-regulation/authorisations/solvency-ii-approvals.

¹⁴ Where relevant, firms should exclude reinsured liabilities from the 'net of reinsurance' basis, where premium or collateral assets are subject to a 'deposit back' arrangement under a reinsurance agreement, where that arrangement places restrictions on the use of those assets.

previously submitted to the PRA to support the existing permission are clearly signposted using 'track changes'. The firm should clearly confirm, in its short description of the changes, that all changes to its application have been displayed as 'track changes' and that no other changes have been made.

2.19 The PRA considers that effective use of its resources to grant future variations of the MA permission would be contingent on the firm developing a consolidated package of MA documentation based on its current MA permission, and against which it can track any changes for the purpose of any future applications to vary its MA permission.

2.20 The PRA expects firms to avoid making speculative applications to vary an MA permission in order to cover a range of potential future eventualities. For example, the PRA will consider MA applications to add new asset types or features to an MA permission where a firm has yet to invest in those new assets. However, the PRA expects the firm to confirm that it has credible plans to invest in these new asset types or assets with new features within 12 months of the PRA reaching a decision on the MA application. The PRA does not consider that this expectation should require firms to apply strict deadlines to investments to maintain an existing MA permission, particularly where this may be contrary to good risk management. However, where a firm does not have credible investment plans as referred to above, the firm should provide an explanation, and justification, of why it is appropriate to seek to vary a permission to include these assets in the MA portfolio. This justification could include an explanation of the firm's future investment strategy and how such investments fit into it. After MA permission has been given, the PRA expects a firm's MA portfolio to evolve over time to reflect its MA permission, or for the firm to seek a variation of its MA permission if this is not the case (eg if a firm has been unable to invest in assets with certain features and a material amount of time has passed since it received the associated MA permission).

2.21 As set out in Matching Adjustment 3.2, once a firm applies the MA to a relevant portfolio of insurance or reinsurance obligations, it may not revert to an approach that does not include the MA. Therefore, the PRA expects a firm to obtain approval for a variation of its MA permission from the PRA before reducing the scope of the relevant portfolio of insurance or reinsurance obligations to which the MA applies.

Assessment of an initial or change in scope application

2.22 The PRA will confirm receipt of the application to the firm. The PRA will also confirm whether the application will be allocated to a streamlined review process (see chapter 3 of this SoP for more detail on the streamlined approach).

2.23 The PRA's approach to assessing the application will be proportionate and appropriate to the circumstances. In particular, for applications to vary an MA permission, the PRA does not expect to routinely seek additional information in respect of areas outside the proposed variation.

2.24 Where the PRA considers that the application does not include sufficient information to allow it to make a decision, the PRA will request the additional information necessary for carrying out its assessment. This information would then be treated as part of the documentary evidence supporting the application.

2.25 In respect of more complex MA applications (for example, those that include new or complex internal securitisations), the PRA may also consider other factors and evidence that are relevant when reaching a decision as to whether the MA eligibility conditions have been met. Evidence that the PRA may request in these more complex cases includes a firm's approach to obtaining a credit rating for a given asset or assets and how the MA portfolio (or changes to the MA portfolio) will be reflected in the SCR calculation. Firms may find it helpful to refer to the PRA's expectations regarding these areas as set out in SS3/17 and SS8/18.

2.26 The PRA will co-ordinate with the FCA if a firm proposes to include any guaranteed elements of with-profits annuities in the MA portfolio, in line with the agreed processes under the PRA-FCA Memoranda of Understanding (MoUs) (both the main MoU¹⁵ and the With-Profits MoU).¹⁶

2.27 The PRA does not consider that a firm should necessarily wait for the determination of one MA application before submitting another. However, a firm that intends to submit a further MA application before a determination of a previous MA application should engage with the PRA as early as possible, particularly to clarify any potential interaction between the applications and to set out how the firm will ensure that the documents supporting the MA permission at any time are up-to-date. Should the PRA consider that the content of a further MA application interconnects with an already submitted application, the PRA will advise the firm of any impact on the application already submitted or the likely review timescales.

2.28 The assessment of the application will involve ongoing communication with the firm and may include discussions regarding adjustments to the content and/or changes to the scope of the application.

2.29 Assuming that the firm has provided a sufficient quality of evidence to allow the PRA to make a decision, and that the need for additional information and/or clarification has been limited, the PRA expects to determine the outcome of an application no later than six months from the date of receipt. Where insufficient evidence is provided and/or there is need for material iterative dialogue between the PRA and the firm, this is likely to extend the time needed for the PRA to reach a decision.

¹⁵ 'Memorandum of understanding between the FCA and Bank of England': www.bankofengland.co.uk/-/media/boe/files/memoranda-of-understanding/mou-fca-and-boe.pdf.

¹⁶ 'With-profits, memorandum of understanding': www.bankofengland.co.uk/-/media/boe/files/memoranda-of-understanding/fca-and-pra-supervision-of-with-profits-policies.pdf.

Right of a firm to withdraw its application

2.30 A firm that has applied for permission to apply the MA, or to vary an existing permission, may withdraw that application by notifying the PRA in writing at any time before the PRA reaches a decision on the application.

Decision on the application

2.31 When the PRA has reached a decision on an application, it will notify the firm in writing of its decision. Where the PRA grants permission, the written notice will specify the scope of the permission and the starting date from which the permission can be used.

2.32 Where a single application covers multiple portfolios, the PRA may grant the MA permission for some portfolios but not for others.

2.33 A decision by the PRA to permit a firm to apply the MA is made on a going concern basis. This means that the permission shall be considered to cover future insurance and reinsurance obligations and assets that are added to the MA portfolio, provided that these obligations and assets have the same features for which the MA permission was granted. The MA portfolio also needs to continue to meet the relevant MA eligibility conditions.

2.34 Where the PRA decides to refuse an application for permission to apply an MA, or an application to vary a permission, it will communicate the reasons for this decision to the firm along with notification of its decision. This is in line with the requirements of The Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 (Disapplication or Modification of Financial Regulator Rules in Individual Cases) Regulations 2024. The PRA notes that, where an application to vary an MA permission by a firm with permission to use the MAIA is refused, the PRA would expect the firm to consider whether an update to the MAIA exposure limit is still required, or whether the related MAIA variation application should be withdrawn.

The PRA's powers to vary or revoke permission to apply the MA

2.35 The PRA's power to grant permission to apply the MA under s138BA of FSMA, exercised in accordance with regulations 4(1) and 4(2) of the IRPR regulations, also permits the PRA to vary and/or revoke an MA permission.

2.36 As set out in Matching Adjustment 13.5, where a firm is unable to restore compliance with MA eligibility conditions within two months of the date of non-compliance, the firm will be required to reduce the MA proportionately by 10% for each further month or part-month of non-compliance. It is also possible that in some circumstances the MA could be reduced by

more than that set out in Matching Adjustment 13.5 or that a firm's permission to apply the MA could be revoked by the PRA.¹⁷

2.37 Where a firm has been required to reduce the MA, due to non-compliance for a period of greater than two months, the PRA will monitor the firm's efforts to return to compliance with MA eligibility conditions and inform the firm of any further actions that may need to be taken (eg obtaining approval to vary its MA permission) before the firm may apply the full MA.

2.38 The PRA will exercise its power to vary or revoke MA permissions in a proportionate manner. The PRA expects that it might use its revocation power in cases where a firm has significantly breached the MA eligibility conditions, where the firm has been (or will be) unable to restore compliance in a reasonable period of time after the initial two-month window, where the firm's MA is zero, or when a firm has repeatedly breached the MA eligibility conditions. The PRA does not expect to make use of this power routinely.

2.39 Once permission to apply the MA is revoked, a firm will need permission from the PRA to apply the MA again. Under these circumstances, a firm would need to submit an MA application to the PRA for decision. This MA application would be treated as an initial MA application.

¹⁷ Paragraphs 8.1B and 8.1C of SS7/18.

2A: The procedure for considering MAIA permissions

2A.1 This chapter outlines the PRA's approach to considering, granting and varying MAIA permissions.

2A.2 This chapter covers both initial applications to use the MAIA, and applications to vary MA permissions, where firms are also seeking to vary the MAIA permission at the same time (the PRA expects this will generally relate to updating the MAIA exposure limit). It also covers possible revocation of MAIA permissions by the PRA.

2A.3 In this chapter, the PRA refers to assets included in an MA portfolio in accordance with a MAIA permission as 'MAIA assets'.

Interaction between the PRA and firms before an application

2A.4 The PRA does not consider that an ARAP process is likely to be beneficial for MAIA applications. The PRA nevertheless encourages early engagement with firms intending to seek permission to use the MAIA, including in advance of submission of the application.

Initial application for permission to use the MAIA

2A.5 The PRA does not expect that initial applications for permission to use the MAIA would be submitted at the same time as an initial application for permission to use the MA, as such firms would not yet have experience of management of an MA portfolio in line with an MA permission and other relevant requirements. Therefore, any firm that is considering seeking permission to use the MAIA at the same time as an initial application to use the MA are encouraged to engage on this aspect of their application with the PRA as part of the ARAP related to the MA application.

2A.6 A firm should submit a written application to the PRA for initial permission to use the MAIA. Firms submitting a MAIA application should make use of the s138BA permission application form on the PRA website, and they are also expected to make use of the supplementary information form that is published by the PRA in relation to MAIA applications.¹⁸ The application should incorporate all relevant documentary evidence. The application should be signed off by the board or by the relevant persons responsible for the

¹⁸ Both documents are on the 'Solvency II approvals' webpage: www.bankofengland.co.uk/prudential-regulation/authorisations/solvency-ii-approvals.

submission of MAIA applications (in line with the expectations set out in paragraph 10.35 of SS7/18).

2A.7 A firm's application to use the MAIA should, as a minimum provide:

- a. written confirmation that a MAIA policy has been established that will ensure compliance with the MA eligibility conditions and relevant PRA rules;
- b. written confirmation that adherence to the MAIA policy will require the firm to establish contingency plans for MAIA assets covering actions that would be taken in the event that the firm is required to remove MAIA asset(s) from the MA portfolio; and
- c. details of the size of the MA portfolio liabilities (both gross and net of reinsurance¹⁹) at the point of application and the related confirmation of the proposed MAIA exposure limit.

2A.8 Additionally, the PRA may also request additional information relating to the operation of the MAIA permission. A firm should be able to provide:

- a. written confirmation that adherence to its MAIA policy will be in line with relevant PRA expectations;
- b. to the extent relevant, confirmation of how the firm intends to apply MAIA exposure limits across MA portfolios within the firm, or across an insurance group (as set out in paragraph 2A.13).

Change in scope MAIA application

2A.9 The PRA recognises that the MAIA exposure limit should be updated to ensure it remains commensurate to the size of a firm's MA portfolio. A firm should therefore propose to update its MAIA permission, to reflect an updated MAIA exposure limit, when it submits an application to vary its MA permission (regardless of the nature of the proposed variation of its MA permission) and/or where necessary to reflect significant changes in the size of a firm's MA portfolio. In such circumstances, a firm should submit a written application to the PRA to vary its MAIA permission. As is the case with an initial MAIA application, firms should apply using the s138BA permission application form published on the PRA website and they are also expected to make use of the supplementary information form published by the PRA for MAIA applications.²⁰ The application should incorporate the documentary evidence listed in paragraph 2A.7 above. The application should be signed off by the board or by the relevant

¹⁹ Where relevant, firms should exclude reinsured liabilities from the 'net of reinsurance' basis, where premium or collateral assets are subject to a 'deposit back' arrangement under a reinsurance agreement, where that arrangement places restrictions on the use of those assets.

²⁰ Both documents are on the 'Solvency II approvals' webpage: www.bankofengland.co.uk/prudential-regulation/authorisations/solvency-ii-approvals.

persons responsible for the submission of MAIA applications (in line with the expectations set out in paragraph 10.35 of SS7/18).

2A.10 The PRA may also request the additional information listed in paragraph 2A.8 above.

Assessment of an initial or change in scope application

2A.11 The PRA will confirm receipt of the application to the firm. The PRA's approach to assessing the application will be proportionate and appropriate to the circumstances. The PRA will consider whether the firm has demonstrated its ability to appropriately manage its MA portfolio using effective processes and controls to manage associated risks, to comply with the MAIA rules and expectations, and to use a MAIA permission in a manner consistent with its MA permission. Where the PRA considers that the application does not include sufficient information to allow it to make a decision, the PRA will request the additional information necessary for carrying out its assessment. This information would then be treated as part of the documentary evidence supporting the application. The PRA does not expect to routinely require additional information in support of MAIA applications.

2A.12 The PRA may require additional information to inform its assessment of a firm's MAIA application in cases where the PRA considers the firm's ability to use a MAIA permission in a manner consistent with its MA permission and in compliance with the MA eligibility conditions, is uncertain. This uncertainty may result from circumstances specific to a firm, including:

- a history of regular and/or significant breaches of the MA eligibility conditions;
- a history of inadequate processes relating to the management of assets with HP cash flows;
- solvency coverage ratios and/or liquidity management arrangements that may be inadequate to appropriately withstand a strain arising from the removal of MAIA assets from the MA portfolio in the event they are determined to be ineligible; and
- other evidence of weaknesses in the firm risk management and governance systems.

2A.13 Regarding MAIA exposure limits, the PRA considers that:

- a MAIA exposure limit higher than that described at paragraph 10.21 of SS7/18 will not generally be appropriate;
- for a firm with permission to use the MAIA for multiple MA portfolios, as set out at paragraph 10.26 of SS7/18, the MAIA exposure limit at paragraph 10.21 of SS7/18 will generally be appropriate as an aggregate MAIA exposure limit for the firm. The PRA therefore expects that firms with permission to use a MAIA for multiple MA

portfolios will consider the MAIA exposure limits of each MA portfolio in a manner in line with this aggregate limit. Firms with permission to use a MAIA for multiple MA portfolios may indicate on their MAIA application if an alternative approach to MAIA exposure limits, and consideration of an aggregate limit, is preferred; and

- for a firm that is part of an insurance group containing other firms holding an MA permission, as set out at paragraph 10.27 of SS7/18, the setting of each MAIA exposure limit will generally ensure that the cumulative position in the insurance group is consistent with paragraph 10.21 of SS7/18. The PRA generally expects that firms in an insurance group will consider the MAIA exposure limits of each relevant firm in a manner in line with this cumulative limit. Unless informed otherwise by applicant firms, the PRA would consider that MAIA exposure limits are applied to each firm within the insurance group in the order of their MAIA application being received and would assess MAIA applications from relevant firms (and the cumulative position of the insurance group as against paragraph 10.21 of SS7/18) on that basis. Firms that are part of such insurance groups may indicate on their MAIA application if an alternative approach to MAIA exposure limits, is preferred.

2A.14 The PRA does not expect to grant MAIA permission for applications that propose MAIA exposure limits higher than that described in 10.21 of SS7/18, and/or would, when considered on a cumulative basis with other MA portfolios within the firm and/or insurance group. When assessing an application, the PRA may request that a firm makes adjustments to the MAIA exposure limit. Where the PRA considers that such adjustments may impact its assessment of the application, it will notify the firm in writing.

2A.15 Assuming that a firm has provided a sufficient quality of evidence to allow the PRA to make a decision, the PRA expects to determine the outcome of an initial application to use the MAIA promptly. The PRA expects to determine the outcome of an application to vary a MAIA permission at the same time as a related application to vary an MA permission.

Right of a firm to withdraw its application

2A.16 A firm that has applied for permission to use the MAIA, or to vary an existing MAIA permission, may withdraw that application by notifying the PRA in writing at any time before the PRA reaches a decision on the application.

Decision on the application

2A.17 When the PRA has reached a decision on an application, it will notify the firm in writing of its decision. Where the PRA grants permission, the written notice will specify the scope of the permission and the starting date from which the permission can be used. Where the PRA decides to refuse an application for permission to use the MAIA, or an application to vary a permission, it will communicate the reasons for this decision to the firm along with notification

of its decision. This is in line with the requirements of The Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 (Disapplication or Modification of Financial Regulator Rules in Individual Cases) Regulations 2024. The PRA notes that, where an application to vary an MA permission by a firm with permission to use the MAIA is refused, the PRA would expect the firm to consider whether an update to the MAIA exposure limit is still required, or whether the related MAIA variation application should be withdrawn.

The PRA's powers to vary or revoke permission to use the MAIA

2A.18 The PRA's power to grant permission to use the MAIA under s138BA of FSMA, also permits the PRA to vary and/or revoke a MAIA permission. This may include variation of a MAIA permission to restrict or remove a permission to use the MAIA.

2A.19 The PRA expects that it might use its variation power to restrict or remove a permission to use the MAIA in cases where a firm has consistently made inappropriate use of its MAIA permission and/or MA permission. For example this may include regular inclusion of assets that do not comply with the MA eligibility conditions in the MA portfolio using the MAIA permission, failure to comply with the MAIA exposure limit, failure to submit an application for the regularisation of MAIA assets within 24 months of their inclusion in the MA portfolio, a significant breach of the MA eligibility conditions, or due to material risk management concerns relating to the use of a MAIA or MA permission. The PRA does not expect to make use of this power routinely.

Interaction between the PRA and firms after a MAIA permission has been granted

2A.20 The PRA may request that firms holding a MAIA permission provide information about its MAIA arrangements, including in particular a copy of the MAIA policy referred to in Matching Adjustment 18.2 and/or the contingency plans for MAIA assets referred to in Matching Adjustment 17.2, at any point after MAIA permission has been granted. The PRA may consider this necessary in order to gain further insight into how the MAIA permission is being used, how associated risks are being managed, and/or if it is concerned that the MAIA permission is being used in a manner that may cause a firm to not comply with the MA requirements.

3: Flexible approach to MA permissions

3.1 This chapter outlines specific areas where the PRA considers that its approach to assessing MA applications can be more flexible/streamlined. It covers what is meant by a streamlined approach and also explains how such an approach would work in practice.

A streamlined approach to assessing MA applications

3.2 The PRA is committed to assessing and providing decisions on all MA applications as quickly as possible, and expects to give its decision no later than six months from its receipt of a firm's application (subject to the points made in paragraph 2.29 of this SoP). If a streamlined approach is considered suitable, then the PRA would expect to reach a decision more quickly.

3.3 When a firm engages with the PRA regarding a proposed MA application, the PRA will indicate whether such an application is likely to be suitable for a streamlined approach. However, an application will only be formally allocated to a streamlined review process once received by the PRA and after it has been assessed via the PRA's triage process. The purpose of the triage process is to make an initial assessment of the scope and completeness of the application. It is also intended to facilitate a risk-based assessment of whether the application is suitable for a streamlined review, taking account of the extent, nature and complexity of the application, including the specific points covered in paragraphs 3.6 to 3.12 below.

3.4 Under a streamlined approach, the PRA would limit its review to a consideration of whether the proposed assets and/or liabilities are eligible for inclusion in the firm's MA portfolio. The PRA may decide to review items not covered by the streamlined MA application review later, as part of its ongoing supervisory activity, as appropriate.

A streamlined approach in practice

3.5 The PRA will still require firms to submit a written application as set out in Chapter 2 of this SoP, including accompanying documentary evidence and, for a change in scope application, changes signposted as described in paragraph 2.18 of this SoP.

3.6 The PRA will endeavour to undertake a streamlined review of an application where it is clearly in line with the MA eligibility conditions or proposes straightforward changes.

3.7 A firm may also propose safeguards to enable a streamlined review of its application in certain circumstances. For example, a firm may propose exposure limits that reduce the prudential risks associated with the proposed asset(s). Inclusion of safeguards within an application may enable the PRA to consider a wider range of applications as appropriate for

a streamlined approach. Such safeguards will form part of the MA permission. Firms are encouraged to set out any proposed safeguards in the ARAP form and the PRA would be likely to discuss these with the firm during the ARAP.

3.8 Where a firm is only seeking to make changes to the asset types included in its MA portfolio, a streamlined approach is most likely to be suitable for:

- introducing an asset type that the firm already has permission to include in its MA portfolio, but where new features are present;
- introducing an asset that includes a new combination of features, but where the firm already has MA permission for other asset types containing subsets or different combinations of these features (covering between them all the features); or
- amending any safeguards that have formed part of an existing MA permission.

3.9 A streamlined approach may also be suitable for certain more novel assets, including assets that are more complex in nature or assets with HP cash flows. However, as a streamlined approach increases the risks to the PRA's statutory objectives, including where risks to the quality of matching may be material, safeguards or mitigants to limit the materiality of these risks will be an important factor in determining whether an application is suitable for a streamlined approach. Nevertheless, even allowing for safeguards, the risks and complexities associated with some assets may mean that it is not possible to apply a streamlined approach for those assets.

3.10 Where a firm is seeking to make changes only to the types of liabilities included in its MA portfolio, a streamlined approach is most likely to be suitable for:

- introducing a type of liability that the firm already has permission to include in its MA portfolio but where new features are present; and
- introducing a liability that includes a new combination of features, but where the firm already has MA permission for other liability types containing subsets or different combinations of these features (covering between them all the features).

3.11 For other changes to MA portfolios, the ability for the PRA to apply a streamlined approach, and the review approach taken by the PRA, will depend on the extent, nature and complexity of the change(s) proposed. Due to the number of areas covered, initial MA permissions, and variations of permission that include many proposed changes to the scope of the MA permission, are less likely to be able to benefit from a streamlined approach. The same applies to applications that include a number of changes in one area (eg a number of proposed changes to the relevant portfolio of assets).

3.12 The PRA notes that its ability to undertake a streamlined review will critically depend on whether all relevant information is included in the application, the quality of the information provided by the firm and how straightforward it is for the firm to demonstrate compliance with the relevant requirements. If it proves necessary to request additional information or clarification from a firm, then this is likely to increase the review time needed.

4: Monitoring of the MA permissions framework

4.1 In order to promote transparency in the regulatory regime, and to implement the outcome of HMT's Solvency II Review²¹, the PRA will publish regular reports on the MA framework alongside the PRA Annual Report, covering application review timelines and decision rates, with the first report to be published in 2025.

4.2 The PRA has set a target of six months for a decision on an MA application as set out in paragraph 2.29 of this SoP. Streamlined reviews are generally expected to be completed over a shorter timeframe, subject to the points made in paragraph 3.12 of this SoP.

²¹ Paragraph 1.17 of Review of Solvency II: Consultation - Response:
www.gov.uk/government/consultations/solvency-ii-review-consultation.

Appendix 1: SoP 8/24 Updates

This annex details changes made to this statement of policy following its initial publication in June 2024.

2025

October 2025

This SoP was updated in 2025 following the publication of PS17/25 Matching Adjustment Investment Accelerator ²². It was updated to cover the PRA's approach to considering, granting and varying MAIA permissions covered in Chapter 2A with modifications to other chapters to reflect MAIA permissions. The title was also updated to "Solvency II: Matching Adjustment Permissions and Matching Adjustment Investment Accelerator Permissions".

²² www.bankofengland.co.uk/prudential-regulation/publication/2025/october/matching-adjustment-investment-accelerator-policy-statement.